Jaspan Schlesinger LLP 300 Garden City Plaza Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 746-8000 Steven R. Schlesinger, Esq.

Attorneys for Patricia Samuels

SOUTHERN DISTR	BANKRUPTCY COURT NICT OF NEW YORK	V
	STOR PROTECTION	-A
,	Plaintiff-Applicant,	Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (BRL)
v.		SIPA Liquidation
BERNARD L. MAD SECURITIES LLC,	OOFF INVESTMENT	(Substantively Consolidated)
	Defendant.	-X
IN RE:		
BERNARD L. MAD	OOFF,	
	Debtor.	-X

PATRICIA SAMUELS' OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S DETERMINATION OF CLAIM

Patricia Samuels ("Samuels") by and through her counsel, Jaspan Schlesinger LLP, hereby raises and files this Objection to the Notice of Trustee's Determination of Claim, dated August 5, 2010, and respectfully shows and alleges as follows:

1. Samuels is a "customer" of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") under the meaning of the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aaa *et seq.* ("SIPA").

- 2. BLMIS Account Number 1S0497 was opened in the name of Samuels in or about 2003 by Bernard L. Madoff ("Madoff") following the liquidation and closing of BLMIS Account Number 1S0018, which was opened and solely managed by Martin Joel, Jr. through the legitimate side of BLMIS.
- 3. Samuels' final account statement from BLMIS dated November 30, 2008 reflects that she owns securities valued at approximately \$921,965.76.
- 4. On or about December 11, 2008, Madoff was arrested by the FBI and charged with securities fraud. Madoff allegedly confessed that BLMIS was a "Ponzi scheme" as he had been paying returns to certain investors out of the principal he received from other investors.
- 5. On or about December 11, 2008, the SEC filed a civil complaint with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York charging Madoff with securities fraud on the basis that Madoff had been conducting a Ponzi scheme for years through the investment adviser services of BLMIS. Thereafter, on or about December 15, 2008, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Stanton, J.) entered an order granting the application of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC") seeking a declaration that the customers of BLMIS are in need of protection under SIPA, and appointed Irving H. Picard, Esq. as Trustee (the "Trustee").
- 6. On December 23, 2008, this Court entered an order which governs, in part, the determination of "customer claims" of victims of Madoff and BLMIS (the "Claims Procedure Order") [Docket # 12].
- 7. Prior to the July 2, 2009 bar date, on or about February 23, 2009, Samuels mailed certified mail return receipt a Customer Claim form (the "Customer Claim Form") with respect

to Account Number 1S0497 of BLMIS. A copy of the Customer Claim Form is annexed hereto as Exhibit "A". The Customer Claim Form reflects a final account balance of \$921,965.76.

- 8. The Trustee has since issued the Notice of Trustee's Determination of Claim, dated August 5, 2010 (the "Determination of Claim"), denying Samuels' Customer Claim in its entirety. A copy of the Determination of Claim is annexed hereto as Exhibit "B". Specifically, the Trustee denied Samuels' claim for a credit balance of \$921,965.76 on the ground that "[n]o securities were ever purchased for [her] account." See Exhibit B. The Trustee also stated that based upon his analysis, the amount of money withdrawn from the account was greater than the amount deposited with BLMIS. See Exhibit B.
- 9. As set forth below, Samuels objects to the Determination of Claim in its entirety. Samuels should be allowed a claim for the maximum amount under SIPA with the balance as a claim against the estate and any remaining proceedings, totaling \$921,965.76 as stated on her Customer Claim Form.

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND ON SAMUELS' INVESTMENT WITH BLMIS AND MARTIN JOEL

- 10. In the 1980s, Martin Joel, Jr. opened account number 1S0018 for Samuels pursuant to a trust agreement, dated May 5, 1988, for the benefit of the Patricia Samuels Family where Madoff was named trustee. A copy of this trust agreement, dated May 5, 1988, is annexed hereto as Exhibit "C". Since the opening of this account, the name has been changed to reflect Samuels' name only. This account was exclusively traded and managed by Mr. Joel, who, upon information and belief, rented office space from Madoff, through the legitimate side of BLMIS since the opening of this account until his passing in 2003.
- 11. Following Mr. Joel's death in 2003, Madoff, in his capacity as executor of Mr. Joel's estate, purportedly liquidated and closed Account Number 1S0018 and unilaterally

transferred the funds that were legitimately traded by Mr. Joel to a new account opened by Madoff – Account Number 1S0497. Copies of the account statements for BLMIS Account Number 1S0018, dated December 31, 2003, and BLMIS Account Number 1S0497, dated December 31, 2003, reflecting the credit transfer information following Mr. Joel's death is annexed hereto as Exhibits "D" and "E" respectively.

Due to Madoff's purported liquidation of Account Number 1S0018, Samuels 12. incurred substantial tax liability for which payments were made and the accounts were subsequently depleted. First, in order to replenish Samuels' account when Madoff liquidated the accounts of Mr. Joel after his death and to extinguish her liabilities to BLMIS, \$1,500,000.00 was transferred to Samuels. A copy of the October 1, 2003 agreement between Samuels, her sister Amy Joel, their step-mother Syliva Joel and Madoff is annexed hereto as Exhibit "F". This agreement also reflects that Samuels was purportedly indebted to BLMIS for a \$472,444.16 margin debt balance in her account (1S0018), and such debt was extinguished as a result of the transfer. Second, in order to pay the tax liabilities for the liquidation of this account by Madoff (as well as another account opened in the name of the "Samuels Family Limited Partnership," originally opened in the name of Howard and Patricia Samuels), a total of \$760,000.00 was transferred from an account owned, managed and traded by Mr. Joel (1J0057) that was held in trust for his daughters, Samuels and Amy Joel, after his death. This tax liability was determined by Paul Konigsberg at the direction of Madoff. A copy of the agreement, made as of April 14, 2004, is annexed hereto as Exhibit "G". Of the \$760,000.00 agreed by the parties to be transferred to Patricia Samuels, \$450,000.00 was transferred directly into BLMIS Account Number 1S0497 for the tax liabilities incurred as a result of Madoff's actions in liquidating the predecessor account (Account Number 1S0018).

- 13. Until Madoff liquidated and closed Samuels' legitimate trading account (Account Number 1S0018) and opened a new account for Samuels that only Madoff had custody and control over (Account Number 1S0497), Samuels' funds held at BLMIS were not part of Madoff's ultimate Ponzi scheme.¹ Similarly, Account Number 1J0057, an account owned, managed and traded only by Mr. Joel until his death in 2003, was only made part of Madoff's Ponzi scheme after Madoff, as executor of Mr. Joel's estate and co-trustee of the Trust whose funds were held in Account Number 1J0057, took custody and control of the funds managed and traded by Mr. Joel.²
- 14. The legitimacy of the accounts managed by Mr. Joel is established in the documents submitted to Baker & Hostetler, LLP, counsel for the Trustee and annexed hereto. The account statements of BLMIS Account Number 1S0018 for years 1999 and 2000 have every indicia of an account not under the control of Madoff or part of the Ponzi scheme:
 - (a) A copy of the December 31, 1999 account statement for account number 1S0018 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "H"; and
 - (b) A copy of the October 31, 2000 account statement for account number 1S0018 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "I".

Copies of these documents, as well as Samuels' joint state and federal tax returns for years 2001 and 2002, were submitted to Baker & Hostetler prior to the mailing of the Determination of

¹ Madoff also liquidated and closed the rest of the accounts of the Samuels family in or about November 2003 and transferred all of their remaining funds from their accounts into his custody and control. Aside from Samuels, the Samuels Family Limited Partnership and Sylvia Samuels have filed objections to the Notice of Trustee's Determination of Claim issued with respect to their accounts. See Docket # 907 and # 2372. Amy Joel and Andrew Ross Samuels have also received Notices of Trustee's Determination of Claim and have or will be filing objections.

² The Article Fourth Trust U/W Martin J. Joel, Jr. Deceased (the "Article Fourth Trust") filed an objection to the Notice of Trustee's Determination of Claim, dated April 27, 2010 [Docket # 2335]. The documents annexed to that objection as well as the facts and arguments set forth therein establish the legitimacy of BLMIS Account Number 1J0057 as well as the trading and managing of certain accounts by Mr. Joel for his family members and relatives. Samuels hereby incorporates by reference the Article Fourth Trust's Objection [Docket # 2335] as if set forth herein at length.

Claim by the Trustee. These documents demonstrate that Samuels was not receiving or earning the typical returns of Madoff customers with accounts that were part of the Ponzi scheme. See Exhibits H and I.

- 15. In comparison, a review of the tax returns and year-end statements for BLMIS Account Number 1S0497 for the years following Mr. Joel's death after Madoff liquidated and closed BLMIS Account Number 1S0018 shows that only after this account was opened was Samuels receiving and earning the types of returns associated with Madoff's Ponzi scheme:
 - (a) A copy of the 2003 Tax Return for the Patricia Samuel Family Trust is annexed hereto as Exhibit "J";
 - (b) A copy of the December 31, 2004 account statement for account number 1S0497 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "K";
 - (c) A copy of the December 31, 2005 account statement for account number 1S0497 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "L";
 - (d) A copy of the December 31, 2006 account statement for account number 1S0497 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "M";
 - (e) A copy of the December 31, 2007 account statement for account number 1S0497 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "N"; and
 - (f) A copy of the November 30, 2008 account statement for account number 1S0497 is annexed hereto as Exhibit "O".

Copies of these documents, as well as Samuels' joint state and federal tax returns for years 2003 to 2007, were submitted to Baker & Hostetler prior to the mailing of the Determination of Claim by the Trustee. It appears from the face of these documents that following Mr. Joel's death in 2003 at the earliest, Samuels may no longer have had a legitimate account through BLMIS when

BLMIS Account Number 1S0018 was liquidated and closed by Madoff and BLMIS Account Number 1S0497 was opened. See Exhibits J - O. Only then were the funds of Samuels made part of Madoff's Ponzi scheme.

II. SAMUELS' OBJECTIONS TO THE DETERMINATION OF CLAIM

- 16. Samuels hereby objects to the Determination of Claim for the reasons set forth below. Samuels is entitled to recover from the estate of BLMIS as she was one of the thousands of customers defrauded by Madoff. Samuels should be allowed a claim for the maximum amount under SIPC (\$500,000.00) with the balance as a claim against the estate and any remaining proceeds for a total of \$921,965.76.
- 17. The Trustee has failed to render a determination and to satisfy Samuels' Customer Claim for Account Number 1S0497 in accordance with the books and records of BLMIS and has further failed to give any weight to the documents in his possession regarding this account as mandated by the Claims Procedure Order.
- 18. A proof of claim filed by a creditor is *prima facie* evidence of the amount and validity of the claim. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001. The Trustee bears the burden of proof in challenging the amount and validity of Samuels' Customer Claim. See In re Oneida Ltd., 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); In re 37-02 Plaza LLC, 387 B.R. 413, 417 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2008) ("The party objecting to a properly filed claim has the burden of introducing sufficient evidence to overcome that claim's prima facie validity."). In order to meet his burden in overcoming the *prima facie* validity of the Customer Claim Form, the Trustee had to present evidence refuting the amount and validity of Samuels' claim set forth on the Customer Claim Form. The Trustee has failed to meet this burden.

- 19. Additionally, and in direct contravention of the Claims Procedure Order, the Determination of Claim does not sufficiently set forth the reason and legal basis for the denial of Samuels' claim. In fact, the only "explanation" for the denial of Samuels' claim is that "[n]o securities were ever purchased for [her] account." See Exhibit B. The Determination of Claim therefore states no basis in law or in fact for the denial of Samuels' claim. The Determination of Claim is inadequate to rebut the *prima facie* validity of Samuels' Customer Claim, and further relies upon an exhibit purportedly evidencing the money deposited and the money withdrawn from BLMIS Account Number 1S0497. The exhibit, however, is completely unsubstantiated and reflects a number of "adjustments" that are unexplained and unsupported.
- 20. The Determination of Claim further fails to give Samuels credit for the funds transferred and used to replenish Samuels' account in 2003. Instead, the Trustee somehow, without stating his reasons as required by the Claims Procedure Order and without providing any documents to support his position, "adjusts" the amount of the deposits into BLMIS Account Number 1S0497 to "\$0.00". See Exhibit B. Without any explanation or documentary evidence, the Trustee fails to give Samuels any credit for Account Number 1S0018 that was managed solely by Mr. Joel through the legitimate side of BLMIS until 2003 when Madoff liquidated and closed this account and transferred Samuels' funds to Account Number 1S0497 and into his sole custody and control his Ponzi scheme. The Trustee acknowledges the funding of Account Number 1S0497, which was opened by Madoff after he liquidated and closed Account Number 1S0018, yet nowhere in the Determination of Claim or in the annexed exhibits does the Trustee explain where and how these adjustments were made.
- 21. The documents submitted herewith (and provided to the Trustee prior to the Determination of Claim) establish that for the period of 1999 to 2003 when Mr. Joel managed

Account Number 1S0018 the account reflects a more traditional trading account with marginal returns and conservative investments that were not typical of Madoff Ponzi scheme customers.

See Exhibits H and I. In contrast, a basic review of the tax returns and statements reveal a noticeable difference in trading strategies and types of trades for the years 2003-2008 following Madoff's liquidation of the original account and the opening of Account Number 1S0497 in November 2003. See Exhibits J to O. The documentary evidence therefore establishes that Samuels' funds were not part of the Madoff Ponzi scheme until November 2003 when Madoff closed Account Number 1S0018 and opened Account Number 1S0497. Only then was Samuels' funds made part of the Madoff Ponzi scheme and subsequently lost. The Trustee fails to address this issue, even though all of the relevant documents were provided to him, and instead relies solely upon his schedule of "adjusted" deposits and withdrawals without stating his reasons for his determination as required by the Claims Procedure Order.

- 22. Moreover, the Determination of Claim and the denial on the grounds that "because [Samuels has] withdrawn more than was deposited into [her] account, [she does] not have a positive 'net equity' in [her] account and [she is] not entitled to an allowed claim in the BLMIS liquidated proceeding," see Exhibit B, should be overturned.
- 23. SIPA provides that a customer's claim shall be allowed in the amount of the customer's "net equity." See 15 U.S.C. § 78fff-2(b). The term "net equity" is defined in SIPA as,

[T]he dollar amount of the account or accounts of a customer, to be determined by -

(A) calculating the sum which would have been owed by the debtor to such customer if the debtor had liquidated, by sale or purchase on the filing date, all securities positions of such customer (other than customer name securities reclaimed by such customer); minus

(B) any indebtedness of such customer to the debtor on the filing date;

15 U.S.C. § 78*lll*(11). The Trustee's money in/money out analysis fails to give customers of BLMIS credit for basic interest that could have been earned on the funds deposited with Madoff and BLMIS during the years in which they were investors, and also fails to reflect the time value of money. Additionally, the Trustee's approach is inconsistent with the case law. See e.g., Visconsi v. Lehman Brothers, Inc., 244 Fed. App'x 708 (6th Cir. 2007); Stafford v. Giddens (In re New Times Securities Services, Inc.), 463 F.3d 125 (2d Cir. 2006); In re New Times Securities Services, Inc., 371 F.3d 68 (2d Cir. 2004).

24. The Trustee's definition of "net equity" only serves to punish victims of Madoff and BLMIS and does not protect them or their reasonable expectations. The legislative intent behind enacting SIPA was to "restore investor confidence in the capital markets, and upgrade the financial responsibility requirements for registered brokers and dealers." Sec. Investor Prot. Corp. v. Barbour, 421 U.S. 412, 415 (1975). "Congress' primary purpose in enacting the SIPA and creating the SIPC was . . . the protection of investors." Id. at 421; see In re First State Sec. Corp., 34 B.R. 492, 496 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1983) ("SIPA is remedial legislation. As such it should be construed liberally to effect its purpose. The purpose is the protection of the insolvent brokers' customers."); see also Sec. Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC, 401 B.R. 629, 633-34 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009) (noting that Congress' primary purpose behind enacting SIPA was to protect investors from financial losses arising from insolvency of brokers and to reestablish investor confidence). The Trustee's interpretation of "net equity" and its application to Samuels' Customer Claim should be overturned.

08-01789-cgm Doc 2964 Filed 09/02/10 Entered 09/02/10 17:11:09 Main Document

Pg 11 of 12

25. Lastly, at a minimum, Samuels' funds that were subsequently transferred by

Madoff from the accounts opened by Mr. Joel to BLMIS Account Number 1S4093 should be

entitled to interest. See e.g., N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5004; Gen. Oblig. Law § 5-501 et seq.

CONCLUSION

26. For the foregoing reasons, Samuels requests that her Customer Claim be allowed

in its entirety in the amount of \$921,965.76, together with such other and further relief as this

Court deems just and proper.

27. Samuels reserves the right to revise, supplement, or amend this Objection, and

any failure to object on a particular ground or grounds shall not be construed as a waiver of its

right to object.

WHEREFORE, Patricia Samuels requests that her Customer Claim be allowed in its

entirety in the amount of \$921,965.76, together with such other and further relief as this Court

deems just and proper.

Dated: Garden City, New York

September 2, 2010

JASPAN SCHLESINGER LLP

Attorneys for Patricia Samuels

By:

s/Steven R. Schlesinger

STEVEN R. SCHLESINGER

300 Garden City Plaza

Garden City, New York 11530

(516) 746-8000

TO: Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court (via ECF) for the Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green
New York, New York 10004

Irving H. Picard, Trustee c/o Baker & Hostetler LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111

Ona T. Wang, Esq. Naima Garvin, Esq. Baker & Hostetler LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10111